WASHINGTON, D.G. 20546

311.41

B-178256

July 3, 1973

Dela Enterprises, Incorporated 2000 North Vavages Avenue Coolidge, Arizona 85228

> Attention: Mr. C. R. Smith President

Gentlamen:

· Reference is made to your retter of March 19, 1973, protesting against award of a contract to my other firm pursuant to invitation for tids (IFB) No. DAAA21-73-B-0100, issued by the United States Army, Picatinny Arschal, Dover, New Jersey.

The IFB, for 313,750 Whistling booby trap simulators, specified four items in section "E", headed "Supplies/Services & Prices." Item No. 0001AA was for first article samples consisting of 25 complete ents of inert parts and 50 loaded assemblies completely packaged and realed.

You cuiwitted a low bid price of \$1.07 per unit but did not submit a bid Trice for first article camples. The contracting officer has determined that your failure to bid on the first article samples rendered your bid neuremonsive and he proposes to make award to the next low masponsive bidder at a price of \$1.087 per unit.

You protest the rejection of your bid, claiming that a clerical mistake had been made in that you intended to bid "no charge" for the first article samples. To support this claim, you have submitted a "rough dreft cony" of your bid and the afridavite of two employees purposting to explain the occurrence of the mistake.

In order to be considered for award a bid must comply in all material respects with the requirements of the invitation at the time of bid opening. 46 Comp. Gen. 434 (1955). The failure to bid on the first article scriples as required by the IFB schedule was a material deviation sine; it deprived the Government of its rights to receive first article samples. See B-175110, April 13, 1972, and cases cited therein. Therefore, we believe the contracting officer deved property in rejecting your bid as nonresponsive.

780285 091344

D-173256

While AEPR 2-406.2 authorizes the correction of a clerical mistake which is "apparent on the face of the bid," no such alerical mistake is apparent on the face of your bid. Furthermore, a bidder may not be permitted a correct a bid which is nonresponsive as submitted regardless of what may have been intended or the reason for the nonresponsiveness. 38 Comp. Gen. 819 (1959); B-174062, October 22, 1971.

Accordingly, the protest is denied.

Sincerely yours,

Paul G. Dembling

For the Comptroller General of the United States